

REMARKS

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The Office Action dated December 9, 2009, has been received and its contents carefully reviewed. Applicants also thank the examiner for the opportunity to discuss the final office action and claims during the telephonic interview conducted on March 16, 2010. This response constitutes a Substance of Interview.

Claims 13 and 28 have been amended. Support for the amendments are provided in the originally filed specification, for example, at paragraph [0007], lines 7-8. No new matter is added. Accordingly, claims 13, 16, 18, 28-30, 32 and 34-37 are presently pending for consideration.

In the outstanding Office Action, claims 13, 16, 18, 28-30, 32 and 43-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 (a) as being unpatentable over Moinpour (US 5901399), Fishkin (US 6202658) and Hashimoto (US 6261378). The rejection of claims 13, 16, 18, 28-30, 32 and 34-37 is respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested.

Independent claims 13 and 28 teach a combination of elements including a feature of ‘wherein the substrate has a thickness of about 0.7 mm’.

However, Applicants submit that the cited references including Moinpour, Fishkin and Hashimoto do not teach or suggest at least the features of the present invention. For example, the cited references including Moinpour, Fishkin and Hashimoto do not disclose that ‘wherein the substrate has a thickness of about 0.7 mm’, as recited in Independent claims 13 and 28.

Accordingly, Applicants respectfully submit that the cited references including Moinpour, Fishkin and Hashimoto does not teach or suggest at least the above identified element of independent claims are allowable over the cited references. And, Applicants submit that claims 16, 18, 29-30, 32 and 34-37 depending from claims are allowable over the cited references.

Applicants believe the foregoing amendments and remarks place the application in condition for allowance and early, favorable action is respectfully solicited.

If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance. All correspondence should continue to be sent to the below-listed address.

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911. Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911.

Dated: April 9, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Valerie P. Hayes/

Valerie P. Hayes

Registration No.: 53,005

McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP

1900 K Street, N.W.

Washington, DC 20006

(202) 496-7500

Attorneys for Applicant